

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/717,056	11/19/2003	Palanisamy Arjunan	2002B169/2	7205 ·		
23455 EXXONMORI	7590 02/05/2007 L CHEMICAL COMPAN	EXAMINER				
5200 BAYWAY DRIVE P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149			RABAGO,	RABAGO, ROBERTO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1713			
		•				
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/05/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
J.	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/717,056	ARJUNAN, PALANISAMY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Roberto Rábago	1713		

Defense the Filippe of an Annual Duick					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Roberto Rábago	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauco		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wiovided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-15,17-29,32,33,35,37-39,41-43 a</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>ana 45-49</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:		21.10	2.		
		Roberto Rábago	-aly		
		Primary Examiner			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. Applicant's arguments filed 12/27/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the ODP rejection over the '586 application, applicant appears to be arguing that because the instant claims do not include all of the process requirements of the copending claims, then no ODP rejection is proper. However, the instant claims are open-ended regarding additional process requirements, and therefore broadly include the process of the copending claims.